CLAIMS

Having thus described our invention, what we claim as new and desire to secure by Letters Patent is as follows:

1. A method of protecting a document which will be transformed into a value bearing instrument after adding additional markings to the document from fraudulent alteration of the markings comprising the steps of:

   generating encryptions of a unique identifier X of the document, the unique identifier X being printed on the document; and

   covering each critical field k, k=1,2,3..., of the document where markings are to be added with encrypted versions of X, $Sign_{k,0}(X)$, where $Sign_{k,0}(X)$ is a cryptographic function or family thereof which is known only to an institution which issues the document, $Sign_{k,0}(X)$ being used to authenticate the document.

2. The method of protecting a document from fraudulent alteration recited in claim 1, wherein an entire area of a field k is covered with a large number of lines of fine print, the lines of fine print comprising one of several encryptions of X.

3. The method of protecting a document from fraudulent alteration recited in claim 2, wherein each critical field k of the document, in addition to being covered by the encrypted version of X, $Sign_{k,0}(X)$, is covered with another encrypted version of X, $Sign_k(X)$, where $Sign_k(X)$ is another cryptographic function or family thereof different from the cryptographic function $Sign_{k,0}(X)$ which is known to a larger number of authorized institutions for performing an initial authentication of the document.

1   4. The method of protecting a document from fraudulent alteration recited in

2   claim 2, wherein each critical field k of the document, in addition to being

3   covered by the encrypted version of X, $Sign_{k,0}(X)$, is covered with another

4   encrypted version of X, $Sec_k(X)$, where $Sec_k(X)$ is another cryptographic

5   function or family thereof different from the cryptographic function $Sign_{k,0}(X)$

6   which is known to a small group within the institution which issues the

7   document for performing final authentication of the document

1   5. The method of protecting a document from fraudulent alteration recited in

2   claim 3, wherein each critical field k of the document, in addition to being

3   covered by encrypted versions of X, $Sign_k(X)$ and $Sign_{k,0}(X)$, is covered with a

4   third encrypted version of X, $Sec_k(X)$, where $Sec_k(X)$ is another cryptographic

5   function or family thereof different from the cryptographic functions

6     $Sign_{k,0}(X)$ and $Sign_k(X)$ which is known to a small group within the institution

7   which issues the document for performing final authentication of the

8   document

1   6. The method of protecting a document from fraudulent alteration recited in

2   claim 5, further comprising the step of indexing the cryptographic functions

3     $Sign_k$, $Sign_{k,0}$ and Sec_k, by a number corresponding to the field k, so that each

4   line comprises different encryptions of X such that each cryptographic

5   function $Sign_k(X)$, $Sign_{k,0}(X)$ and $Sec_k(X)$ is a family of different

6   cryptographic functions.

1   7. The method of protecting a document from fraudulent alteration recited in

2   claim 6, wherein the families of cryptographic functions $Sign_k$, $Sign_{k,0}$ and

3   Sec_k prevent cryptographic functions which have been obscured at different

4   places by marks added to the document from being used to reconstitute the full

5   cryptographic function.

6   8. The method of protecting a document from fraudulent alteration recited in

7   claim 1, wherein electronic deposit of a document transformed into a value

8   bearing instrument comprises the steps of:

9   scanning the document with a scanner to generate a digitized version

10   of the document; and

11   transmitting the digitized version of the document for deposit.

1   9. The method of protecting a document from fraudulent alteration recited in

2   claim 8, wherein electronic deposit of a document transformed into a value

3   bearing instrument further comprises the step of endorsing the document, if

4   needed, having printed thereon encryptions in at least selected locations where

5   markings are added to transform the document into a value bearing

6   instrument, the act of endorsing obscuring some of the encryptions.

1   10. The method of protecting a document from fraudulent alteration recited in

2   claim 8, wherein electronic deposit of a document transformed into a value

3   bearing instrument further comprises the steps of:

4   extracting from the digitized version of the document the unique

5   identifier X and a corresponding digital encryption of X, $Sign_k(X)$, which is

6   known to a large number of authorized institutions; and

7   comparing a decrypted version of $Sign_k(X)$ to the unique identifier X

8   as an initial authentication of the document.

1   11. The method of protecting a document from fraudulent alteration recited in

2   claim 10, wherein electronic deposit of a document transformed into a value

3 bearing instrument further comprises the steps of:

4 extracting from the digitized version of the document the unique

5 identifier X and a corresponding digital encryption of X, $Sign_{k,0}(X)$, which is

6 known only to an institution that issues the document; and

7 comparing a decrypted version of $Sign_{k,0}(X)$ to the unique identifier X

8 as a further authentication of the document.

1 12. The method of protecting a document from fraudulent alteration recited in

2 claim 11, wherein electronic deposit of a document transformed into a value

3 bearing instrument further comprises the steps of:

4 extracting from the digitized version of the document the unique

5 identifier X and a corresponding digital encryption of X, $Sec_k(X)$, which is

6 known to a small group within the institution that issues the document; and

7 comparing a decrypted version of $Sec_k(X)$ to the unique identifier X as

8 a final authentication of the document.

1 13. The method of protecting a document from fraudulent alteration recited in

2 claim 1, wherein portions of the lines of fine print are obscured by writing

3 added to the document when transforming the document into a value bearing

4 instrument.

1 14. The method of protecting a document from fraudulent alteration recited in

2 claim 13, wherein the document is a check and the unique identifier X is

3 check data comprising a bank Id number, an account Id number and a check

4 number.

1 15. The method of protecting a document from fraudulent alteration recited in

2 claim 14, wherein an issuing bank chooses a first secret key $Sign_k$ using a

YOR920000722US1

secure cryptographic generator (SCG), further comprising the steps of:

computing a first family of encrypted functions $Sign_k(X)$; and

communicating the key $Sign_k$ to banks and other authorized institutions

involved in depositing of checks, the family of encrypted functions $Sign_k(X)$

allowing the payee's bank to perform a first authentication of the check.

16. The method of protecting a document from fraudulent alteration recited in

claim 15, wherein an issuing bank chooses a second secret key $Sign_{k,0}$ using a

SCG, further comprising the steps of:

computing a second family of encrypted functions $Sign_{k,0}(X)$, key

$Sign_{k,0}$ remaining the exclusive property of the issuing bank; and

using SCGs, communicating the key $Sign_{k,0}$ to all branches of the

issuing bank where check clearing is done, the family of encrypted functions

$Sign_{k,0}(X)$ being used exclusively by the issuing bank and branches involved in

the clearing of checks.

17. The method of protecting a document from fraudulent alteration recited in

claim 16, wherein an issuing bank chooses a third secret key Sec_k which is

exclusively known to a small group within the issuing bank, further

comprising the step of computing a third family of encrypted functions

$Sec_k(X)$, the secret key Sec_k being used by the issuing bank as final instrument

to verify the check.

18. The method of protecting a document from fraudulent alteration recited in

claim 14, wherein the check is deposited by a payee electronically from a

location remote from a bank or Automatic Teller Machine (ATM) .

19. The method of protecting a document from fraudulent alteration recited in

2   claim 14, wherein electronic deposit of the check by a payee comprises the

3   steps of:

4   endorsing the check having printed thereon encryptions in at least

5   selected locations where information is written by a payer, the act of endorsing

6   by the payee obscuring some of the encryptions;

7   scanning the endorsed check with a scanner to generate a digitized

8   version of the check;

9   transmitting the digitized version of the check for deposit to the

10 payee's bank.

1   20. The method of protecting a document from fraudulent alteration recited in

2   claim 19, wherein electronic deposit of the check by a payee comprises the

3   steps of:

4   extracting by the payee's bank from the digitized version of the check

5   the unique identifier X and a corresponding digital encryption of X, $\text{Sign}_k(X)$,

6   which is known to a large number of authorized institutions including the

7   payee's bank; and

8   comparing by the payee's bank a decrypted version of $\text{Sign}_k(X)$ to the

9   unique identifier X as an initial authentication of the check.

1   21. The method of protecting a document from fraudulent alteration recited in

2   claim 20, wherein electronic deposit of the check further comprises the steps

3   of:

4   extracting from the digitized version of the check the unique identifier

5   X and a corresponding digital encryption of X, $\text{Sign}_{k,0}(X)$, which is known

6   only to a bank that issues the check; and

7   comparing by the payor's bank a decrypted version of $\text{Sign}_{k,0}(X)$ to the

8   unique identifier X as a further authentication of the check.

YOR920000722US1

1   22. The method of protecting a document from fraudulent alteration recited in

2   claim 21, wherein electronic deposit of the check further comprises the steps

3   of:

4   extracting from the digitized version of the check the unique identifier

5   X and a corresponding digital encryption of X, $Sec_k(X)$, which is known to a

6   small group within the bank that issues the check; and

7   comparing a decrypted version of $Sec_k(X)$ to the unique identifier X as

8   a final authentication of the check.

1   23. The method of protecting a document from fraudulent alteration recited in

2   claim 19, further comprising the step of accessing a database by the payee's

3   bank where the unique identifier X and first encrypted function $Sign_k(X)$ is

4   registered to determine whether the check has been previously presented for

5   deposit.

1   24. The method of protecting a document from fraudulent alteration recited in

2   claim 19, further comprising the step of registering a check to be deposited by

3   the payee with an SCG to prevent multiple deposits.

1   25. A document protecting against fraudulent alteration of markings added to

2   the document to transform the document into a value bearing instrument, the

3   document having printed thereon and covering each critical field k, k=1,2,3...,

4   where markings are added to the document encrypted versions a unique

5   identifier X printed on the document, $Sign_{k0}(X)$, where $Sign_{k0}(X)$ is a

6   cryptographic function or family thereof which is known only to an institution

7   which issues the document, $Sign_{k0}(X)$ being used to authenticate the

8   document.

1   26. The document recited in claim 25, wherein an entire area of field k is

2   covered with a large number of lines of fine print, the lines of fine print

3   comprising an encryption of X.

1   27. The document recited in claim 26, wherein each critical field k of the

2   document, in addition to being covered by encrypted versions of X, $Sign_{k0}(X)$,

3   is covered with another encrypted version of X, $Sign_k(X)$, where $Sign_k(X)$ is

4   another cryptographic function or family thereof different from the

5   cryptographic function $Sign_{k,0}(X)$ which is known to a larger number of

6   authorized institutions for performing an initial authentication of the

7   document.

1   28. The document recited in claim 27, wherein each critical field k of the

2   document, in addition to being covered by encrypted versions of X, $Sign_{k,0}(X)$

3   and $Sign_k(X)$, is covered with a third encrypted version of X, $Sec_k(X)$ is

4   another cryptographic function or family thereof different from the

5   cryptographic functions $Sign_{k,0}(X)$ and $Sign_k(X)$ which is known to a small

6   group within the institution which issues the document for performing final

7   authentication of the document.

1   29. The document recited in claim 28, wherein the cryptographic functions

2    $Sign_k$, $Sign_{k,0}$ and Sec_k, are indexed by a number corresponding to the field k,

3   so that each line comprises different encryptions of X such that each

4   cryptographic function $Sign_k(X)$, $Sign_{k,0}(X)$, $Sec_k(X)$ is a family of different

5   cryptographic functions.

1   30. The document recited in claim 29, wherein the act of adding markings to

2   the document to transform the document into a value bearing instrument

3   obscures some of the encryptions, the families of different cryptographic

4   functions preventing cryptographic functions which have been obscured at

5   different places from being used to reconstitute the full cryptographic

6   function.

1   31. The document recited in claim 25, wherein the document is a check and

2   the unique identifier X is check data comprising a bank Id number, an account

3   Id number and a check number.

1   32. The document recited in claim 31, wherein the act of adding markings to

2   the check to transform the document into a value bearing instrument obscures

3   some of the encryptions

1   33. The document recited in claim 32, wherein an entire area of field k is

2   covered with a large number of lines of fine print, the lines of fine print

3   comprising an encryption of X.

1   34. The document recited in claim 33, wherein each critical field k of the

2   document, in addition to being covered by encrypted versions of X, $Sign_{k0}(X)$,

3   is covered with another encrypted version of X, $Sign_k(X)$, where $Sign_k(X)$ is

4   another cryptographic function or family thereof different from the

5   cryptographic function $Sign_{k,0}(X)$ which is known to a larger number of

6   authorized banks and institutions for performing an initial authentication of

7   the check.

1   35. The document recited in claim 34, wherein each critical field k of the

2   document, in addition to being covered by encrypted versions of X, $Sign_{k,0}(X)$

3   and $Sign_k(X)$, is covered with a third encrypted version of X, $Sec_k(X)$ is

4   another cryptographic function or family thereof different from the

5   cryptographic functions $Sign_{k,0}(X)$ and $Sign_k(X)$ which is known to a small

6   group within the bank or institution which issues the check for performing

7   final authentication of the check.

1   36. The document recited in claim 35, wherein the encrypted function

2     $Sign_k(X)$ are communicated to banks and other authorized institutions

3   involved in depositing checks and the encrypted function $Sign_k(X)$ allows the

4   payee's bank to perform a first authentication of the check.

1   37. The document recited in claim 36, wherein key $Sign_{k,0}$ remains the

2   exclusive property of the issuing bank and the encrypted function $Sign_{k,0}(X)$ is

3   used exclusively by the issuing bank and branches involved in the clearing of

4   checks.

1   38. The document recited in claim 37, wherein secret key Sec_k is exclusively

2   known to the issuing bank and the encrypted function $Sec_k(X)$ is used by the

3   issuing bank as a final instrument to verify the check.